





## INFORMATION SHEET – PLATING VARIATION

For more information contact [sales@mpeastern.co.uk](mailto:sales@mpeastern.co.uk) Tel No: 01502 573047

There are techniques and methods available to minimise variation but there is an associated cost with these techniques.

These include, specialise jigging, the use of auxiliary and conforming anodes, the use of current robbers or shields. These techniques are usually only cost effective on larger production runs.

On larger production runs data is gathered on piece to piece variation within a load and within piece variation at these points. You can then estimate reliably those areas on the component and their position in the plating tank that show the highest and lowest deposit thickness. The components in these areas can be sampled regularly and thickness monitored using normal QC control charting techniques.

On one off jobs it is almost an iterative process where an estimate is made based on experience the components processed and evaluated and any changes to conditions made for the next run or for component re-processing.

Items that are “close tolerance” should be discussed as far as is possible with the supplier preferably at the design stage to determine feasibility and process capability.

The British standard series BS4779 gives some design guide details on designing for plating, and is a useful introduction for designers.

### Minimum Average and Minimum Local Thickness

Depending upon the process conditions and the component shape in order to achieve a minimum thickness on the *significant surface* it is necessary to apply an overall average thickness of anything from +25% to +100% of the minimum local thickness to make sure the minimum local thickness is achieved.

The majority of national and international standards call for a given *minimum* thickness on the *significant surface*, this surface is generally accepted as those areas which can be touched by the surface of a 20mm dia ball.